arXiv:1401.3067v2 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2014

Vanishing Theorems on Toric Varieties*

Qihong Xie

Abstract

In this paper, we clarify the mistakes made in the former article entitled "Vanishing Theorems on Toric Varieties in Positive Characteristic". On the other hand, we use the positive characteristic method to reprove the Bott vanishing theorem, the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence and the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for log pairs on toric varieties over a field of arbitrary characteristic, where concerned Weil divisors are torus invariant.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we always work over a field F, which is either *a field K of characteristic zero or a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$*. The main purpose of this paper is to use the positive characteristic method to reprove various vanishing theorems on toric varieties. See Definition 3.2 for the definition of $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X(\log D)$, the Zariski-de Rham complex of X with logarithmic poles along D. The following are the main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Bott vanishing)**.** *Let X be a projective toric variety over F, D a reduced torus invariant Weil divisor on X, and L an ample invertible sheaf on X. Then for any $j > 0$ and any $i \geq 0$,*

$$H^j(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D) \otimes L) = 0.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Degeneration of Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence)**.** *Let X be a proper toric variety over F, and D a reduced torus invariant Weil divisor on X. Then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1:*

$$E_1^{ij} = H^j(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D)) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{i+j}(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_X(\log D)).$$

Theorem 1.3 (Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing)**.** *Let X be a projective toric variety over F, and H a nef and big torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then for any $i > 0$,*

$$H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner H \urcorner) = 0.$$

In fact, the above results have been initiated by Danilov [Da78], Buch-Thomsen-Lauritzen-Mehta [BTLM97], Mustaţă [Mu02], and have already been proven by Fujino [Fu07] via the multiplication maps. In this paper, we shall reprove these results by means of the positive characteristic method, which consists of two steps: the first one

*This paper was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11422101).

is to prove the positive characteristic part by using the decomposition of de Rham complexes; the second one is to deduce the characteristic zero part by means of the reduction modulo p method.

In §2, we will clarify the mistakes made in a former paper. In §3, we will recall some definitions and preliminary results. §4 and §5 are devoted to the proofs of the positive characteristic part and the characteristic zero part of the main theorems respectively. For the necessary notions and results in toric geometry, we refer the reader to [Da78], [Od88], [Fu93] and [CLH11].

Notation. We use $[B] = \sum [b_i] B_i$ (resp. $\ulcorner B \urcorner = \sum \ulcorner b_i \urcorner B_i$, $\langle B \rangle = \sum \langle b_i \rangle B_i$) to denote the round-down (resp. round-up, fractional part) of a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B = \sum b_i B_i$, where for a real number b, $[b] := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, n \leq b\}$, $\ulcorner b \urcorner := -[-b]$ and $\langle b \rangle := b - [b]$.

Acknowledgments. I would like to express my gratitude to Professors Luc Illusie and Olivier Debarre for useful comments.

2 Erratum to a former paper

In the former paper [Xie14] entitled "Vanishing Theorems on Toric Varieties in Positive Characteristic", the author proved that Theorems 1.1–1.3 hold for not necessarily torus invariant divisors on toric varieties in positive characteristic. Recently, an anonymous algebraic geometer provides the following example to show that Theorems 1.1 does not hold for not necessarily torus invariant divisors, which means that some mistakes were contained in the arguments of [Xie14].

Example 2.1. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $D \subseteq X$ a smooth projective curve of degree $d \geq 4$, and $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Then the cohomology exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_X \otimes L \to \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes L \to \mathcal{O}_D \otimes L \to 0$$

together with the standard Bott vanishing for $\mathbb{P}^2$ show that

$$H^1(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes L) \cong H^1(X, L|_D).$$

Then the cohomology exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-d+1) \to \mathcal{O}_X(1) \to \mathcal{O}_D(1) \to 0$$

shows that $H^1(X, L|_D) \cong H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d+1))$, which is nonzero as $d \geq 4$. Therefore $H^1(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D) \otimes L) \neq 0$, which implies that Theorem 1.1 does not hold for not necessarily torus invariant divisors on toric varieties in arbitrary characteristic.

The mistakes stem from the following ambiguity: in Definition 2.3 of [Xie14], a lifting of the relative Frobenius morphism $\widetilde{F} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is said to be compatible with $\widetilde{D}$ if $\widetilde{F}^* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}'}(-\widetilde{D}') = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-p\widetilde{D})$, which means the equality of sheaves of ideals, but not an isomorphism of line bundles. However, in the proof of Theorem 3.7 (ii) of [Xie14], the author only proved that there exists an isomorphism of line bundles for not necessarily torus invariant divisors, which is not enough for obtaining applications to not necessarily torus invariant divisors on toric varieties.

Remark 2.2. It should be mentioned that, in [Xie14], Theorem 2.4–Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.1–Theorem 3.6 are correct since these results have been proven in more general situations; whereas Theorem 3.7 (ii) is valid for torus invariant divisors on toric varieties, therefore Theorems 1.1–1.3 of [Xie14] do hold true for torus invariant divisors on toric varieties in positive characteristic.

3 Preliminaries

First of all, we recall some definitions and notations for toric varieties from [Fu93]. Let N be a lattice of rank n, and M the dual lattice of N. Let Δ be a fan consisting of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let A be a ring. In general, we denote the toric variety associated to the fan Δ over the ground ring A by $X(\Delta, A)$. More precisely, to each cone σ in Δ, there is an associated affine toric variety $U_{(\sigma,A)} = \operatorname{Spec} A[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$, and these $U_{(\sigma,A)}$ can be glued together to form the toric variety $X(\Delta, A)$ over $\operatorname{Spec} A$.

Let X be a normal variety over F, and D a reduced Weil divisor on X. Then there exists an open subset U of X such that $\operatorname{codim}_X(X - U) \geq 2$, U is smooth over F, and $D|_U$ is simple normal crossing on U. Such an U is called a required open subset for the log pair (X, D).

Example 3.1. (i) Let X be a smooth variety over F, and D a simple normal crossing divisor on X. Then we can take the largest required open subset $U = X$.

(ii) Let $X = X(\Delta, F)$ be a toric variety, and D a torus invariant reduced Weil divisor on X. Take U to be $X(\Delta_1, F)$, where Δ_1 is the fan consisting of all 1-dimensional cones in Δ. Then it is easy to show that U is an open subset of X with $\operatorname{codim}_X(X - U) \geq 2$, U is smooth over F, and $D|_U$ is simple normal crossing on U. Hence U is a required open subset for (X, D).

Definition 3.2. Let X be a normal variety over F, and D a reduced Weil divisor on X. Take a required open subset U for (X, D), and denote $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$ to be the open immersion. For any $i \geq 0$, define the Zariski sheaf of differential i-forms of X with logarithmic poles along D by

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D) = \iota_* \Omega^i_U(\log D|_U).$$

Since $\operatorname{codim}_X(X - U) \geq 2$ and $\Omega^i_U(\log D|_U)$ is a locally free sheaf on U, $\widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D)$ is a reflexive sheaf on X, and the definition of $\widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D)$ is independent of the choice of the open subset U. Furthermore, we have the Zariski-de Rham complex $(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_X(\log D), d)$.

It follows from [Ha77, Proposition II.8.10] that the Kähler sheaves of relative differentials are compatible with base changes. Similarly, we can show that the Zariski sheaves are compatible with base changes.

Definition 3.3. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be a separated morphism of varieties over F. Assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a reduced Weil divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}) \geq 2$, $\mathcal{U}$ is smooth over S, and $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is relatively simple normal crossing over S. Denote $\iota : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ to be the open immersion, and for any $i \geq 0$, define the Zariski sheaf of relative differentials of $\mathcal{X}$ over S by

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) = \iota_* \Omega^i_{\mathcal{U}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Furthermore, we have the Zariski-de Rham complex $(\widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}), d)$.

Lemma 3.4. *With notation and assumptions as above, let $g : T \to S$ be a morphism of varieties over F, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \times_S T$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \times_S T$, and $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} \times_S T$ with the induced*

cartesian diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{V} & \xhookrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f'} & T \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g''} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathcal{U} & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & S. \end{array}$$

Then for any $i \geq 0$,

$$g'^{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}').$$

Proof. Consider the left cartesian diagram. By a direct verification, we can show that $g'^{*}\iota_{*} = \iota'_{*}g''^{*}$ holds, hence $g'^{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) = g'^{*}\iota_{*}\Omega^{i}_{\mathcal{U}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{U}}) = \iota'_{*}g''^{*}\Omega^{i}_{\mathcal{U}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \iota'_{*}\Omega^{i}_{\mathcal{V}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'|_{\mathcal{V}}) = \widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')$ by [Ha77, Proposition II.8.10]. □

Proposition 3.5. *With notation and assumptions as in Lemma 3.4, assume further that $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ is proper and S is an affine variety.*

(i) The sheaves $R^{j}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ and $\mathbf{R}^{n}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ are coherent. There exists a nonempty open subset Z of S such that, for any (i, j) and for any n, the restrictions of these sheaves to Z are locally free of finite rank.

(ii) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any morphism $g : T \to S$, consider the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f'} & T \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & S. \end{array}$$

Then the base change maps are isomorphisms in $D(T)$:

$$\mathbf{L}g^{*}\mathbf{R}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'), \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{L}g^{*}\mathbf{R}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'). \tag{2}$$

(iii) Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and assume that for any j, the sheaf $R^{j}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ is locally free over S of constant rank h^{ij}. Then for any j, the base change map deduced from (1) is an isomorphism:

$$g^{*}R^{j}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow R^{j}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'). \tag{3}$$

In particular, $R^{j}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')$ is locally free of rank h^{ij}.

(iv) Assume that for any n, the sheaf $\mathbf{R}^{n}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ is locally free over S of constant rank h^{n}. Then for any n, the base change map deduced from (2) is an isomorphism:

$$g^{*}\mathbf{R}^{n}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'). \tag{4}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{R}^{n}f'_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')$ is locally free of rank h^{n}.

Proof. (i) The fact that the sheaves $R^{j}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{i}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ are coherent is a particular case of the finiteness theorem of Grothendieck (cf. [EGA, III.3] or [Ha77, Theorem III.8.8] for projective morphisms). The coherence of $\mathbf{R}^{n}f_{*}\widetilde{\Omega}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ follows from that of

$R^j f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ by the relative Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence. For the second assertion of (i), denote by A the integral noetherian ring of S, K its field of fractions, which is the localization of S at its generic point η. Set for brevity $R^j f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \mathcal{G}^{ij}$, $\mathbf{R}^n f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{G}^n$. The fiber of $\mathcal{G}^{ij}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^n$) at η is free of finite rank (i.e. a K-vector space of finite dimension), and is the direct limit of $\mathcal{G}^{ij}|_{D(s)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^n|_{D(s)}$) for s running through A. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists an $s \in A$ such that $\mathcal{G}^{ij}|_{D(s)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^n|_{D(s)}$) is free of finite rank.

(ii) Choose a finite covering $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ by open affine subsets, denote by $\mathcal{W}'$ the open covering of $\mathcal{Y}$ deduced from $\mathcal{W}$ by the base change. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is proper, hence separated over S, and S is affine, the finite intersections of open subsets in $\mathcal{W}$ are affine [Ha77, Ex.II.4.3], and similarly the finite intersections of open subsets in $\mathcal{W}'$ are affine over T. As a consequence of [Ha77, Proposition III.8.7], $\mathbf{R}f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ (resp. $\mathbf{R}f'_* \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')$) is represented by $f_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}))$ (resp. $f'_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}', \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'))$), where $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \cdot)$ denotes the Čech complex of sheaves. By Lemma 3.4, we have a canonical isomorphism of complexes:

$$g^* f_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})) \xrightarrow{\sim} f'_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}', \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')).$$

Since the complex $f_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}))$ is bounded and with flat components, this isomorphism realizes the isomorphism (1). $\mathbf{R}f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ (resp. $\mathbf{R}f'_* \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')$) is similarly represented by $f_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}))$ (resp. $f'_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}', \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}'))$), where $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \cdot)$ denotes the associated total complex of the Čech bicomplex, and we have a canonical isomorphism of complexes:

$$g^* f_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})) \xrightarrow{\sim} f'_* \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}', \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{Y}/T}(\log \mathcal{D}')),$$

which realizes the isomorphism (2).

The conclusions of (iii) and (iv) follow from (ii) and the following lemma, which is a standard result in homological algebra. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let A be a noetherian ring and $E^\bullet$ a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A-modules such that $H^i(E^\bullet)$ are finitely generated projective A-modules for all i. Then for any A-algebra B and for any i, the canonical homomorphism $B \otimes_A H^i(E^\bullet) \to H^i(B \otimes_A E^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism.*

4 The proof of the positive characteristic part

By Remark 2.2, almost all of the statements in the positive characteristic part of the main theorems are indeed proved in [Xie14], except that we have only to remove the simplicial condition from Theorem 1.3 of [Xie14]. First of all, we need the following relative vanishing result on toric varieties.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a projective simplicial toric variety over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$, Y a normal projective variety over k, and $f : X \to Y$ a surjective morphism. Let H be a nef and big torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then for any $j > 0$,*

$$R^j f_* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner H \urcorner) = 0.$$

Proof. Take an ample divisor L on Y and a positive integer m such that $R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(mL)$ is generated by its global sections and $H^i(Y,R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(mL))=0$ holds for any $i>0$ and any $j\geq 0$. Consider the following Leray spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{ij}=H^i(Y,R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L))\Longrightarrow H^{i+j}(X,K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L).$$

Since $E_2^{ij}=0$ for any $i>0$, we have $H^0(Y,R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L))\cong H^j(X,K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L)$. Since $H+mf^*L$ is linearly equivalent to a nef and big torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, we have that $H^j(X,K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L)=0$ holds for any $j>0$, hence $H^0(Y,R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner+mf^*L))=0$ holds for any $j>0$. By global generation, we have $R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(mL)=0$, hence $R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)=0$ holds for any $j>0$. □

Proof of Theorems 1.1–1.3 over a perfect field k of characteristic $p>0$. We have only to remove the simplicial condition from Theorem 1.3 of [Xie14].

Let $X=X(\Delta,k)$. Take $\pi:X'\to X$ be an equivariant birational morphism of toric varieties, where $X'=X(\Delta',k)$, and Δ' is a subdivision of Δ by adding some faces of dimension at least two such that each cone in Δ' is simplicial. Thus X' is simplicial and $\pi:X'\to X$ is isomorphic in codimension one.

Let $H'=\pi^*H$. Then H' is also a nef and big torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X'. Since $\pi:X'\to X$ is isomorphic in codimension one, we have $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'}+\ulcorner H'\urcorner)=\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)$. Consider the following Leray spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{ij}=H^i(X,R^j\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'}+\ulcorner H'\urcorner))\Longrightarrow H^{i+j}(X',K_{X'}+\ulcorner H'\urcorner).$$

Since $E_2^{ij}=0$ for any $j>0$ by Lemma 4.1, we have $H^i(X,K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)\cong H^i(X',K_{X'}+\ulcorner H'\urcorner)$. By [Xie14, Theorem 1.3], we have $H^i(X',K_{X'}+\ulcorner H'\urcorner)=0$ for any $i>0$, hence $H^i(X,K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)=0$ holds for any $i>0$. □

The following is a weak version of the relative Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing on toric varieties in positive characteristic.

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a projective toric variety over a perfect field k of characteristic $p>0$, Y a normal projective variety over k, and $f:X\to Y$ a surjective morphism. Let H be a nef and big torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then for any $j>0$,*

$$R^jf_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+\ulcorner H\urcorner)=0.$$

Proof. It follows from the positive characteristic part of Theorem 1.3 and a similar argument to that of Lemma 4.1. □

5 The proof of the characteristic zero part

Almost all of the arguments concerning the reduction modulo p technique, except Theorem 5.6, come from [Il96, §6]. Let $\{(A_i)_{i\in I},\ u_{ij}:A_i\to A_j\,(i\leq j)\}$ be a filtered direct system of rings with direct limit A, and denote by $u_i:A_i\to A$ the canonical homomorphism. The two most important examples are: (i) a ring A written as a direct limit of its sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras of finite type; (ii) the localization A_P of a ring A at a prime ideal P written as a direct limit of localizations $A_f=A[1/f]$ for $f\notin P$.

Let $\{(E_i)_{i\in I},\ v_{ij} : E_i \to E_j\,(i \le j)\}$ be a direct system of A_i-modules (resp. A_i-algebras) with direct limit E, where v_{ij} is an A_i-linear homomorphism of E_i into E_j considered as an A_i-module (resp. A_i-algebra) via u_{ij}. We say that $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ is cartesian if, for any $i \le j$, the natural A_j-linear homomorphism induced by v_{ij}

$$\varphi_{ij} : u_{ij}^* E_i = A_j \otimes_{A_i} E_i \to E_j,\ a_j \otimes e_i \mapsto a_j v_{ij}(e_i)$$

is an isomorphism of A_j-modules (resp. A_j-algebras). In this case, for any i, the natural homomorphism $v_i : E_i \to E$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi_i : u_i^* E_i = A \otimes_{A_i} E_i \to E$ of A-modules (resp. A-algebras).

Let $\{(F_i)_{i\in I},\ w_{ij} : F_i \to F_j\,(i \le j)\}$ be another direct system of A_i-modules (resp. A_i-algebras) with direct limit F. If (E_i) is cartesian, then the $\mathrm{Hom}_{A_i}(E_i, F_i)$ form a direct system of A_i-modules: the transition map for $i \le j$ associated to $f_i : E_i \to F_i$ is the homomorphism $E_j \to F_j$ which is the following composition:

$$E_j \xrightarrow{\varphi_{ij}^{-1}} A_j \otimes_{A_i} E_i \xrightarrow{1\otimes f_i} A_j \otimes_{A_i} F_i \xrightarrow{\psi_{ij}} F_j,$$

where ψ_{ij} is induced by w_{ij}. Analogously, we have maps from $\mathrm{Hom}_{A_i}(E_i, F_i)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_A(E, F)$, sending $f_i : E_i \to F_i$ to the homomorphism $E \to F$ which is the following composition:

$$E \xrightarrow{\varphi_i^{-1}} A \otimes_{A_i} E_i \xrightarrow{1\otimes f_i} A \otimes_{A_i} F_i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} F,$$

where ψ_i is induced by $w_i : F_i \to F$. Thus we have a natural map:

$$\varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{A_i}(E_i, F_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(E, F). \tag{5}$$

Recall that a module (resp. an algebra) is said to be finitely presented if it is the cokernel of a homomorphism between free modules of finite rank (resp. free algebras of finite type). The next lemma shows that hypotheses of finite presentation guarantee that the direct system (E_i) is cartesian and the map (5) is isomorphic from certain index $i_0 \in I$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ be a filtered direct system of rings with direct limit A.*

(i) If E is a finitely presented A-module (resp. A-algebra), then there exists $i_0 \in I$ and an A_{i_0}-module (resp. A_{i_0}-algebra) E_{i_0} of finite presentation such that $u_{i_0}^* E_{i_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} E$.

(ii) Let (E_i) and (F_i) be two direct systems, cartesian for $i \ge i_0$, with direct limits E and F respectively. If E_{i_0} is finitely presented, then the map (5) is bijective.

Remark 5.2. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that if E is finitely presented, E_{i_0} from which E arises by extension of scalars is essentially unique, in the sense that if E_{i_1} is another choice (both E_{i_0} and E_{i_1} are of finite presentation), then there exists i_2 with $i_2 \ge i_0$ and $i_2 \ge i_1$ such that E_{i_0} and E_{i_1} become isomorphic by extension of scalars to A_{i_2}.

The $S_i = \mathrm{Spec}\, A_i$'s form an inverse system of affine schemes whose inverse limit is $S = \mathrm{Spec}\, A$. Let $\{(X_i)_{i\in I},\ v_{ij} : X_j \to X_i\}$ be an inverse system of S_i-schemes. We say that this system is cartesian for $i \ge i_0$ if, for any $i_0 \le i \le j$, the transition morphism v_{ij} gives a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_j & \xrightarrow{v_{ij}} & X_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_j & \longrightarrow & S_i. \end{array}$$

In this case, the S-scheme X deduced from X_{i_0} by extension of scalars to S is the inverse limit of $(X_i)_{i\in I}$. If $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ is another inverse system of S_i-schemes, cartesian for $i \geq i_0$, with inverse limit $Y = S \times_{S_{i_0}} Y_{i_0}$, then the $\mathrm{Hom}_{S_i}(X_i, Y_i)$'s form a direct system, and we have a natural map analogous to (5):

$$\varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_i}(X_i, Y_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, Y). \tag{6}$$

Recall that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is said to be locally of finite presentation if, there exists a covering of Y by open affine subsets $V_i = \mathrm{Spec}\, B_i$, such that for each i, $f^{-1}(V_i)$ can be covered by open affine subsets $U_{ij} = \mathrm{Spec}\, A_{ij}$, where each A_{ij} is a finitely presented B_i-algebra. If Y is locally noetherian, then "locally of finite presentation" is equivalent to "locally of finite type". Furthermore, $f : X \to Y$ is said to be of finite presentation if, it is locally of finite presentation, quasi-compact and quasi-separated. If Y is noetherian, then "f is of finite presentation" is equivalent to "f is of finite type".

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ be a filtered inverse system of affine schemes with inverse limit S.*

(i) If X is an S-scheme of finite presentation, then there exists $i_0 \in I$ and an S_{i_0}-scheme X_{i_0} of finite presentation from which X is deduced by base change.

(ii) If $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$ are two inverse systems of S_i-schemes, cartesian for $i \geq i_0$, and if X_{i_0} and Y_{i_0} are finitely presented over S_{i_0}, then the map (6) is bijective.

Proof. It is reduced to Lemma 5.1. □

Remark 5.4. It follows from Proposition 5.3 that if X is finitely presented over S, X_{i_0} from which X arises by base change is essentially unique, in the sense that if X_{i_1} is another choice (both X_{i_0} and X_{i_1} are of finite presentation), then there exists i_2 with $i_2 \geq i_0$ and $i_2 \geq i_1$ such that X_{i_0} and X_{i_1} become isomorphic by base change to S_{i_2}.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ be a filtered inverse system of affine schemes with inverse limit S, and X an S-scheme of finite presentation. As in Proposition 5.3(i), take an $i_0 \in I$, an S_{i_0}-scheme X_{i_0} of finite presentation, and the induced cartesian inverse system (X_i) with $X_i = S_i \times_{S_{i_0}} X_{i_0}$ for $i \geq i_0$.*

(i) If E is a finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, then there exists an $i_1 \geq i_0$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{X_{i_1}}$-module E_{i_1} of finite presentation from which E is deduced by extension of scalars.

(ii) If E is locally free (resp. locally free of rank r), then there exists an $i_2 \geq i_1$ such that $E_{i_2} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{i_2}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_{i_1}}} E_{i_1}$ is locally free (resp. locally free of rank r).

(iii) If X is projective over S and E is an ample (resp. a very ample) invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, then there exists an $i_2 \geq i_1$ such that X_{i_2} is projective over S_{i_2} and E_{i_2} is ample (resp. very ample).

(iv) Let E_{i_0} and F_{i_0} be finitely presented $\mathcal{O}_{X_{i_0}}$-modules, and consider the cartesian direct systems (E_i) and (F_i) which are deduced by extension of scalars over the X_i's for $i \geq i_0$, as well as the $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules E and F which are deduced by extension of scalars over X. Then there is a natural map:

$$\varinjlim_{i\geq i_0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_i}}(E_i, F_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E, F), \tag{7}$$

which is bijective.

Proof. The proofs of (i), (ii) and (iv) are reduced to Lemma 5.1. For (iii), it suffices to treat the case where E is very ample, i.e. there is a closed immersion $h : X \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^r_S$ such that $h^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cong E$. By the bijectivity of (6) and (ii), for i sufficiently large, we can reduce h to an S_i-morphism $h_i : X_i \to \mathbb{P}_i = \mathbb{P}^r_{S_i}$ and E to an invertible module E_i over X_i. By the bijectivity of (6) again, up to increasing i, we can obtain that h_i is a closed immersion. By the bijectivity of (7), up to increasing i, we can obtain that the isomorphism $h^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cong E$ comes from an isomorphism $h_i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i}(1) \cong E_i$. Thus E_i is very ample. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let X be a proper toric variety over a field K of characteristic zero, D an effective divisor, L an ample invertible sheaf and H an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then there exists a sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra A of finite type of K, a proper toric variety $\mathcal{X}$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, an effective divisor $\mathcal{D}$, an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ and ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that (X, D, L, H) are deduced from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ by base change.*

Proof. Write K as a direct limit of the family $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ of its sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebras of finite type. Assume that $X = X(\Delta, K)$ is associated to the fan Δ. Then the properness of X is equivalent to the completeness of Δ. Let $X_i = X(\Delta, A_i)$. It is easy to see that $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ is a family of proper toric varieties over $\operatorname{Spec} A_i$ from which X is deduced by base change. By Proposition 5.3, we could obtain other choices of X_i which may not be toric, however Remark 5.4 shows that any choice of X_i is essentially unique, i.e. X_i must be toric for i sufficiently large.

The effective divisor D is a closed subscheme of X, which corresponds to a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_D$. By the bijectivity of (7), up to increasing i, we can reduce $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_D$ to a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ and take $\mathcal{D} = D_i$. The reduction of the ample invertible sheaf L to $\mathcal{L}$ follows from Proposition 5.5(iii). For the reduction of the ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor H, it suffices to treat the case that H is a very ample divisor. Let D be an effective divisor and f be a rational function on X such that $H = D + \operatorname{div}_0(f)$. Take a sufficiently large i such that A_i contains all coefficients appearing in the rational function f. Then up to increasing i, we can reduce D to an effective divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on X_i and take $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D} + \operatorname{div}_0(f)$. □

We also need the following result on density of closed points.

Proposition 5.7. *Let S be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) If x is a closed point of S, then the residue field $k(x)$ is a finite field.

(ii) Any nonempty locally closed subset Z of S contains a closed point of S.

Proof. We refer to [EGA, IV.10.4.6, IV.10.4.7], or in the case where S is affine, this is a consequence of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz [Ma80, (14.L)]. □

Finally, let us prove the characteristic zero part of the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $X = X(\Delta, K)$ is associated to the fan Δ. By Theorem 5.6, there exists a sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra A of finite type of K, a proper toric variety $\mathcal{X} = X(\Delta, A)$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, a reduced torus invariant Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$, and an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that (X, D, L) are deduced from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L})$ by the base change $\iota : \eta \hookrightarrow S$, where $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$ is the generic point of S.

Let $\mathcal{U} = X(\Delta_1, A)$, where Δ_1 is the fan consisting of all 1-dimensional cones in Δ. Then $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{U}) \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{U}$ is smooth over S. Shrinking $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary,

we can further assume that $\mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is relatively simple normal crossing over S. Hence by Definition 3.3, we obtain the Zariski sheaves $\widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$.

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be the structure morphism. For any $i \geq 0$, consider the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$. By the semicontinuity theorem [Ha77, Theorem III.12.8], the function $h^j(s, \mathcal{F}) = \dim_{k(s)} H^j(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is an upper semicontinuity function on S. In particular, the set $\{s \in S \,|\, h^j(s, \mathcal{F}) < 1\}$ is an open subset of S. By Proposition 5.7, we can take a closed point $x \in S$ with the residue field $k(x)$ being a finite field, which is perfect of positive characteristic, such that $\mathcal{X}_x = X(\Delta, k(x))$ is a toric variety over $k(x)$. By the positive characteristic part of Theorem 1.1, we have $H^j(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{F}_x) = 0$ for any $j > 0$, which implies that the above set is nonempty, hence is a nonempty open subset of S for any $j > 0$. Thus the generic point $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$ belongs to this set. As a consequence, $H^j(\mathcal{X}_\eta, \mathcal{F}_\eta) = H^j(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D) \otimes L) = 0$ holds for any $j > 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $\dim_K H^j(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_X(\log D)) = h^{ij}$, $\dim_K \mathbf{H}^n(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_X(\log D)) = h^n$. It suffices to prove that for any n, we have

$$h^n = \sum_{i+j=n} h^{ij}.$$

Assume that $X = X(\Delta, K)$ is associated to the fan Δ. By Theorem 5.6, there exists a sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra A of finite type of K, a proper toric variety $\mathcal{X} = X(\Delta, A)$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, and a reduced torus invariant Weil divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that (X, D) are deduced from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ by the base change $\iota : \eta \hookrightarrow S$, where $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$ is the generic point of S. By Proposition 3.5(i), up to replacing A by $A[t^{-1}]$ for a suitable nonzero $t \in A$, we may assume that the sheaves $R^j f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ and $\mathbf{R}^n f_* \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\log \mathcal{D})$ are free of constant rank, which is necessarily equal to h^{ij} and h^n by Proposition 3.5(iii) and (iv).

By Proposition 5.7, we can take a closed point $x \in S$ with the residue field $k(x)$ being a finite field, which is perfect of positive characteristic, such that $\mathcal{X}_x = X(\Delta, k(x))$ is a toric variety over $k(x)$. By the positive characteristic part of Theorem 1.2, we have for any n,

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \dim_{k(x)} H^j(\mathcal{X}_x, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}_x}(\log \mathcal{D}_x)) = \dim_{k(x)} \mathbf{H}^n(\mathcal{X}_x, \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}_x}(\log \mathcal{D}_x)).$$

By Proposition 3.5(iii) and (iv) again, we have for any i, j and for any n,

$$\dim_{k(x)} H^j(\mathcal{X}_x, \widetilde{\Omega}^i_{\mathcal{X}_x}(\log \mathcal{D}_x)) = h^{ij},\ \dim_{k(x)} \mathbf{H}^n(\mathcal{X}_x, \widetilde{\Omega}^\bullet_{\mathcal{X}_x}(\log \mathcal{D}_x)) = h^n.$$

Therefore $h^n = \sum_{i+j=n} h^{ij}$ for any n, which implies the conclusion. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Kodaira's Lemma, we can take an effective torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B with sufficiently small coefficients such that $H - B$ is ample and $\ulcorner H - B \urcorner = \ulcorner H \urcorner$. Therefore, we may from the beginning assume that H is an ample torus invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X.

Assume that $X = X(\Delta, K)$ is associated to the fan Δ. By Theorem 5.6, there exists a sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-algebra A of finite type of K, a proper toric variety $\mathcal{X} = X(\Delta, A)$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$, and an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that (X, H) are deduced from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ by the base change $\iota : \eta \hookrightarrow S$, where $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$ is the generic point of S.

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be the structure morphism. Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\Omega}^n_{\mathcal{X}/S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\ulcorner \mathcal{H} \urcorner)$ on $\mathcal{X}$. By the semicontinuity theorem [Ha77, Theorem III.12.8], the function $h^j(s, \mathcal{F}) = \dim_{k(s)} H^j(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ is an upper semicontinuity function on S. In particular, the set $\{s \in S \,|\, h^j(s, \mathcal{F}) < 1\}$ is an open subset of S. By Proposition 5.7, we can take a closed point $x \in S$ with the residue field $k(x)$ being a finite field, which is perfect of positive characteristic, such that $\mathcal{X}_x = X(\Delta, k(x))$ is a toric variety over $k(x)$. By the positive characteristic part of Theorem 1.3, we have $H^j(\mathcal{X}_x, \mathcal{F}_x) = 0$ for any $j > 0$, which implies that the above set is nonempty, hence is a nonempty open subset of S for any $j > 0$. Thus the generic point $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$ belongs to this set. As a consequence, $H^j(\mathcal{X}_\eta, \mathcal{F}_\eta) = H^j(X, K_X + \ulcorner H \urcorner) = 0$ holds for any $j > 0$. □

References

[BTLM97] A. Buch, J. F. Thomsen, N. Lauritzen, V. Mehta, The Frobenius morphism on a toric variety, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **49** (1997), 355–366.

[CLH11] D. Cox, J. Little, H. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **124**, American Mathematical Society, 2011.

[Da78] V. I. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **33** (1978), 97–154.

[DI87] P. Deligne, L. Illusie, Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham, *Invent. Math.*, **89** (1987), 247–270.

[EGA] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES.

[Fu07] O. Fujino, Multiplication maps and vanishing theorems for toric varieties, *Math. Zeit.*, **257** (2007), 631–641.

[Fu93] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. **131**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

[Ha77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[Il96] L. Illusie, Frobenius et dégénérescence de Hodge, in J. Bertin, J.-P. Demailly, L. Illusie and C. Peters, *Introduction à la Théorie de Hodge*, Panoramas et Synthèses, vol. **3**, Socité de Mathmatiques de France, Marseilles, 113-168, 1996.

[Ma80] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, 1980.

[Mo82] S. Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Ann. Math.*, **116** (1982), 133–176.

[Mu02] M. Mustaţă, Vanishing theorems on toric varieties, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **54** (2002), 451–470.

[Od88] T. Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[Xie14] Q. Xie, Vanishing theorems on toric varieties in positive characteristic, *Math. Zeit.*, **276** (2014), 191–202.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: qhxie@fudan.edu.cn